IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 72 OF 2006

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.1074 OF 1987

Balasaheb P.Pawar ... Petitioner

Vs.

International Airport Authority

of India & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. N.M.Ganguly for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K.Talsania, Senior Advocate, with V.J.Mehta i/b. Bhaishankar Kanga & Girdharlal for Respondents.

CORAM: S.K.SHAH,J.

DATE : 6th October, 2006.

P.C.

1. The Respondents have filed reply clearly mentioning in para 6 that the petitioner has been reinstated with effect from 2nd December, 2003 as Assistant (Traffic) and thereafter he was granted benefit of pay fixation by taking into consideration higher scale under Flexible Complimentary Scheme. It is further mentioned that the petitioner was paid his dues in accordance with Fundamental Rule 54A for the period of his termination viz. from 15th february, 1984 till 2nd December 2003. In view of this statement, the order

of which contempt is alleged has been complied with.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner states that he was entitled to something more and there is dispute about it. We cannot go into that dispute in Contempt Petition. There is substantial compliance of the order. I do not find any merit in the Contempt Petition.

The Contempt Petition stands disposed of.

(S.K.SHAH,J.)